

Data-Oriented System Development

Prof. Martin Wirsing

30.10.2002

MIS

Algebraic Specification with CASL

Goals

- Get to know algebraic specifications
- Write first specifications with CASL
- Use reachability and free extensions for CASL

Simple Algebraic Specifications

Definition:

Let $\Sigma = (S, F, P)$ be a signature and E a set of (closed) Σ -formulas. Then $SP = \langle \Sigma, E \rangle$ is an **axiomatic specification**.

SP is called **algebraic specification**, if P is empty. (i. e. if only the equality symbol occurs as a predicate.)

Example (Associativity):

spec ASSOC

sorts Elem

ops $_ \circ _ : \text{Elem} \times \text{Elem} \rightarrow \text{Elem}$

vars $x, y, z : \text{Elem}$

axioms $(x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z)$

end

Example (Simple Database):

```
spec Database =
  sorts Database; String; Nat
  ops initial: Database;
        look-up: Database × String →? Nat;
        update: Database × String × Nat → Database
  vars s: Database; v,w: String; n: Nat
  axioms [initial]%
           ¬def look-up(initial,w);
  [look-up1]%
    v = w ⇒ look-up(update(s,v,n),w) = n;
  [look-up2]%
    v ≠ w ⇒ look-up(update(s,v,n),w) = look-up(s,w)
end
```

Example (BOOL):

```
spec BOOL1 =  
    sorts      Bool  
    ops        true, false: Bool  
    axioms      $\neg(\text{true} = \text{false})$ ;  
               $\forall x : \text{Bool}.x = \text{true} \vee x = \text{false}$   
end
```

For extending specifications by additional sorts, operations and axioms we use the keyword **then**:

```
spec BOOL =  
  BOOL1  then  
    ops          not _ : Bool → Bool;  
                 _ and _, _ or _ : Bool × Bool → Bool  
    vars          x: Bool  
    axioms        not(true) = false;  
                 not(false) = true;  
                 true and x = x;  
                 false and x = false;  
                 true or x = true;  
                 false or x = x  
end
```

Specifications with Constructors

Definition (Constructors):

Given $\Sigma = (S, F, P)$ and $s \in S$.

- An expression g of the form

generated { sorts s ; ops C }

introduces the operations C as constructors for s .

It is required syntactically that every $c \in C$ has the domain s (“generating constraint”), i.e.

$$C \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in S^*} F_{\langle w, s \rangle}$$

- A Σ -structure A **satisfies** g ($A \models g$), if for every element $a \in A_s$, there is an assignment $\nu : X \rightarrow A$ with $\nu(x) = a$ (and $x \in X_s$) and a term $t \in T((S, C), (X_{s'})_{s' \neq s})$. s.t.

$$A, \nu \models x = t$$

C is then called a constructor set for s .

Example:

The declaration of a constructor set replaces the axiom that constrains the domain to true, false.

```
spec BOOL_g =  
    generated      { sorts Bool; ops true, false: Bool }  
    axioms          ⊢ (true = false)  
end
```

Abbreviation for “generated { sorts Bool; ops true, false: Bool }”:

```
generated type Bool ::= true | false
```

Note: In the literature an algebraic specification is called **flat algebraic specification** if:

1. every sort is constructed via **generated** { **sort s,ops** $F_{w,s}$ } and
2. the axioms consist of equations and quantified equations.

Constructor sets can be defined as data type declarations in BNF-expressions. A datatype declaration for the sort s is written as

$$s ::= A_1 \mid \dots \mid A_n$$

Example (Natural numbers):

```
spec NAT_g =
  generated type Nat ::= zero | succ(Nat)
  vars           x, y: Nat
  axioms         $\neg(\text{zero} = \text{succ}(x));$ 
                   $\text{succ}(x) = \text{succ}(y) \Rightarrow x = y$ 
end
```

Example (Database):

```
spec Database_g=
  generated type Database ::= initial | update(Database;String;Nat)
  ops           look-up: Database  $\times$  String  $\rightarrow$  Nat
  vars           s: Database; v,w: String; n: Nat
  axioms        [initial]%
                   $\neg\text{def } \text{look-up}(\text{initial}, w);$ 
                  [look-up1]%
                   $v = w \Rightarrow \text{look-up}(\text{update}(s, v, n), w) = n;$ 
                  [look-up2]%
                   $v \neq w \Rightarrow \text{look-up}(\text{update}(s, v, n), w) = \text{look-up}(s, w)$ 
end
```

Specification of Initial and Free Structures

Definition (Free sub structures):

- Let $\Sigma = (S, F, P)$ and $s \in S$. An expression g of the form

$$\mathbf{free}\{ \text{ sorts } s; \text{ ops } C \}$$

introduces sort s and the operations C as free constructors for s .

Syntactically we require: for every $c \in C$,

$$C \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in S^*} F_{\langle w, s \rangle}$$

- Let S_0 be the set of all sorts of C which are different from s . A Σ -structure A **fullfills** g ($A \models g$), if the substructure $A|_{(S, C)}$ is a free extension of $A|_{(S_0, \emptyset)}$.
- Abbreviation:

$$\mathbf{free\ type\ } s ::= c_1 \mid \dots \mid c_n \quad (\text{for } C = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\})$$

Example (Natural numbers):

```

spec NAT_f=
  free type          Nat ::= zero | succ(Nat)
end

spec NAT_f1 =
  BOOL1 and NAT_f   then
    ops                eq: Nat × Nat → Bool
    vars               x,y: Nat
    axioms             eq(zero,zero) = true;
                          eq(zero,succ(x)) = false;
                          eq(succ(x),succ(y)) = eq(x,y);
                          eq(x,y) = eq(y,x)
end

```

Example (WORD):

```

spec WORD=
  sorts Alph
  free types Word ::= make(Alph) | add(Word;Alph)
end

```

Example (Lists):

The structure for finite lists is a free extension of the element type Elem.

spec LIST0=

sorts	Elem
free types	List ::= nil cons(Elem; List)
ops	first: List →? Elem; rest: List →? List
vars	x:Elem; l>List
axioms	¬def first(nil); ¬def rest(nil); first(cons(x,l)) = x; rest(cons(x,l)) = l

end

Remark: first and rest are the selector operations for the arguments of cons.

Write shortly:

free types List ::= nil | cons(first: Elem; rest: List)

Example (Database):

spec DB_f=

sorts Data; Key

free types Database ::= initial | update(Database;Key;Data)

axioms [initial] % $\neg \text{def} \text{ look-up}(\text{initial}, w);$

[look-up1] % $v = w \Rightarrow \text{look-up}(\text{update}(s, v, n), w) = n;$

[look-up2] % $v \neq w \Rightarrow \text{look-up}(\text{update}(s, v, n), w) = \text{look-up}(s, w)$

end

Specification of Basic Computational Structures

Example (Stack):

spec STACK =

sorts Elem

free types Stack ::= empty | push(Elem; Stack)

ops top: Stack →? Elem;

pop: Stack →? Stack

vars d:Elem; s:Stack

axioms $\neg \text{def top(empty)};$

$\neg \text{def pop(empty)};$

$\text{top}(\text{push}(d,s)) = d;$

$\text{pop}(\text{push}(d,s)) = s$

end

Example (Loose finite Sets):

```
spec LSETNAT =
  BOOL1 and NAT_f1 then
  generated type Set ::= empty | add(Nat; Set)
  ops           iselem: Nat × Set → Bool
  vars          b: Bool; x,y: Nat; s: Set
  axioms        iselem(x, empty) = false;
                 iselem(x, add(y,s)) = or(eq(x, y), iselem(x, s))
end
```

Example (Binary Trees):

```
spec TREE =  
    sorts      Elem  
    free type  Tree ::= empty|node(Tree;Elem;Tree)  
    ops        left,right:Tree→Tree;  
              label:Tree→? Elem  
    vars        $t_1, t_2 : \text{Tree}; d : \text{Elem}$   
    axioms     left(empty) =empty;  
               $\neg \text{def label}(\text{empty});$   
              right(empty) =empty;  
              left(node( $t_1, d, t_2$ )) =  $t_1$ ;  
              label(node( $t_1, d, t_2$ )) =  $d$ ;  
              right(node( $t_1, d, t_2$ )) =  $t_2$   
end
```

Structured Specifications

Let $Spec$ be the set of all specifications, $Sign$ the set of all signatures.

For every $SP \in Spec$ is

- $Sig(SP) \in Sign$ the signature of SP and
- $Mod(SP) \subseteq Struct(Sig(SP))$ w.r.t. $Mod(SP) \in Alg(Sig(SP))$ the class of models of SP

Definition (Sum of two Specifications):

$$\begin{aligned} Sig(SP_1 \text{ and } SP_2) &= Sig(SP_1) \cup Sig(SP_2) \\ Mod(SP_1 \text{ and } SP_2) &= \{ A \in Alg(sig(SP_1 \text{ and } SP_2)) \mid \\ &\quad A|_{Sig(SP_1)} \in Mod(SP_1) \text{ and } \\ &\quad A|_{Sig(SP_2)} \in Mod(SP_2) \} \end{aligned}$$

Example (Specification NAT and BOOL):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mod(NAT and BOOL)} = \{ A \in \text{Alg}(\text{Sig(NAT and BOOL)}) \mid \\ A|_{\text{Sig(NAT)}} \in \text{Mod(NAT)}, \\ A|_{\text{Sig(BOOL)}} \in \text{Mod(BOOL)} \} \end{aligned}$$

Note: Shared sort and function symbols get identified. If shared parts of SP_1 and SP_2 are inconsistent, then is SP_1 and SP_2 inconsistent.

Example (Inconsistency):

$$SP_1 = \langle (\{s\}, \{a, b : s, f : s \rightarrow s\}, \neg(a = b)) \rangle$$

$$SP_2 = \langle (\{s\}, \{a, b, c : s\}, (a = b)) \rangle$$

$$\text{Sig}(SP_1 \text{ and } SP_2) = (\{s\}, \{a, b, c : s, f : s \rightarrow s\})$$

$$\text{Mod}(SP_1 \text{ and } SP_2) = \emptyset$$

The extension of signature SP by new sorts, function symbols and axioms using **then** can be reduced to the sum.

Definition (Extension):

$SP \text{ then sorts } S; \text{ ops } F; \text{ axioms } E \text{ end} =_{def}$

$SP \text{ and } \langle (sorts(Sig(SP)) \cup S, ops(Sig(SP)) \cup F), E \rangle$

Definition (Hiding):

$$\text{Sig}(SP \text{ hide } (S_1, F_1)) = \Sigma^-$$

$$\text{Mod}(SP \text{ hide } (S_1, F_1)) = \{B|_{\Sigma^-} \mid B \in \text{Mod}(\Sigma)\}$$

Let S_1, F_1 be lists of sort and function symbols, let $\Sigma = (S, F)$ be a signature.

$\Sigma^- =_{def} \Sigma - (S_1, F_1)$ denotes the signature where

- all sort and function symbols of S_1 and F_1 and
- all function symbols that have an element of S_1 in their functionality are discarded.

Example (Sorting Lists of Natural Numbers):

```

spec INSSORT =
(   LISTNAT   then
    ops           insert: Nat × List → List;
                  sort: List → List
    vars          x,y: Nat; l: List
    axioms        insert(x, empty) = cons(x, empty);
                  insert(x, cons(y, l)) = cons(x, cons(y, l));
                  when x leq y else cons(y, insert(x, l));
                  sort(empty) = empty;
                  sort(cons(x, l)) = insert(x, sort(l))
)
hide insert
end

```

Definition (Signature Morphism):

- Let $\Sigma = (S, F)$ and $\Sigma' = (S', F')$ be signatures. A signature morphism is a renaming of sorts and function symbols in such a way that the functionality of the renamed function symbols respects the renaming of the sorts. Formally a mapping $\sigma = (\sigma_{sort}, \sigma_{op})$ with $\sigma_{sort} : S \rightarrow S'$ $\sigma_{op} : F \rightarrow F'$ is called **signature morphism** ($\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$), if for all $f \in F_{\langle(s_1, \dots, s_n), s\rangle}$ $\sigma_{op}(f) : \sigma_{sort}(s_1), \dots, \sigma_{sort}(s_n) \rightarrow \sigma_{sort}(s)$
- Let $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$ be an injective signature morphism, $A \in \text{Alg}(\Sigma)$. The **σ -translation** $\sigma(A)$ of A is the algebra with
 $\sigma(A)_{\sigma_{sort}(s)} =_{def} A_s$ and $\sigma_{op}(f)^{\sigma(A)} =_{def} f^A$
- Let $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$ be a signature morphism, $B \in \text{Alg}(\Sigma')$. The **σ -reduct** $B|_\sigma$ is the Σ -algebra with
 $(B|_\sigma)_s =_{def} B_{\sigma_{sort}(s)}$ and $f^{B|_\sigma} =_{def} (\sigma_{op}(f))^B$

A theory morphism $\alpha : SP_1 \rightarrow SP_2$ preserves the theory of SP_1 ; i.e. SP_2 satisfies all axioms, generating and free extension constraints of SP_1 (modulo renaming).

Definition (Theory Morphism, View):

- A **theory morphism** $\alpha : SP_1 \rightarrow SP_2$ is a signature morphism $\alpha : \text{Sig}(SP_1) \rightarrow \text{Sig}(SP_2)$, such that for every model $M \in \text{Mod}(SP_2)$ holds:

$$(*) \quad M|_\alpha \in \text{Mod}(SP_1)$$

- Let α_0 be a finite mapping between characters. If the signature morphism $\alpha : \text{Sig}(SP_1) \rightarrow \text{Sig}(SP_2)$ is well defined and a theory morphism, then it defines a **View**:

view $SM : SP_1 \text{ to } SP_2 = \alpha_0$

Parameterised Specifications

A parameterised specification (or generic specification) is of the form

```
spec SN[SP] =  
    Body  
end
```

where

- **SN** is the name of the parameterised specification
- **SP** is the name of the formal parameter specification
- **Body** is the body of the specification

SN is well defined, if the body extends the parameter, i. e. if

SP **then** Body

is a well defined specification.

Example (Parameterised Lists):

```

spec ELEM =
    sorts           Elem
end

spec LIST[ELEM]
    free type   List[Elem] ::= nil | cons(first: ?Elem; rest: ?List[Elem])
    ops          _ ++ _: List[Elem] × List[Elem] → List[Elem]
    vars          e: Elem; l, l': List[Elem]
    axioms      nil ++ l = l;
                  cons(e, l) ++ l' = cons(e, l ++ l')
    ops          reverse(nil) = nil;
                  reverse(cons(e, l)) = reverse(l) ++ cons(e, nil)
end

```

Definition (Parameter Passing):

Let **spec** $SN[SP] = \text{Body end}$ be a generic specification with formal parameter SP , let AP be an actual parameter and $SM:SP \rightarrow AP = \sigma_0$ a theory morphism from SP to AP , then

$SN[\text{view } SM]$ or $SN[AP \text{ fit } \sigma_0]$

denotes the instantiation of SN with AP .

Abbr.: $SN[AP]$

Semantically: (SP **then** Body) **with** σ_0 **and** AP

Example (Instantiation):

The instantiation of $LIST[ELEM]$ with natural numbers is defined via renaming:
 $LIST[NAT \text{ fit } [Elem \mapsto Nat]]$

Summary

- A CASL specification describes abstractly a class of structures with a signature.
- CASL supports “loose” and “free” datatypes with **generated** and **free**.
- Specifications can be structured.
- Renaming is done using signature morphisms.
- Specifications can be parameterised.