Performance Modelling of Computer Systems

Mirco Tribastone

Institut für Informatik Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München

Fundamentals of Queueing Theory

For any real |x| < 1,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} = e^{x},$$
(1)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{k} = \frac{x}{1-x},$$
(2)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kx^{k} = \frac{x}{(1-x)^{2}}.$$
(3)

Birth-Death Processes

- Continuous-time Markov chain with states labelled $0, 1, \ldots, k, \ldots$
- Jumps are only allowed between neighbouring states:
 - State 0 may only make a transition to 1.
 - A state k > 0 may make transitions to k 1 and k + 1.
- Population increases (births) happen at rate $\lambda_k > 0$.
- Population decreases (deaths) happen at rate $\mu_k > 0$.
- Births and deaths are independent.

Model assumptions

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}(\text{exactly one birth in } (t, t + \Delta t) \mid X(t) = k) = \lambda_k \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \\ & \mathbb{P}(\text{exactly zero births in } (t, t + \Delta t) \mid X(t) = k) = 1 - \lambda_k \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \\ & \mathbb{P}(\text{exactly one death in } (t, t + \Delta t) \mid X(t) = k) = \mu_k \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \\ & \mathbb{P}(\text{exactly zero deaths in } (t, t + \Delta t) \mid X(t) = k) = 1 - \mu_k \Delta t + o(\Delta t). \end{split}$$

Chapman-Kolmogorov Equations of Birth-Death Processes

Denote

$$p_k(t) := \mathbb{P}(X(t) = k), \qquad k \ge 0.$$

By the law of total probability:

$$\begin{split} p_0(t + \Delta t) &= p_0(t)(1 - \lambda_0 \Delta t) + \mu_1 p_1(t) \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \\ p_k(t + \Delta t) &= p_{k-1}(t) \lambda_{k-1} \Delta t + p_k(t)(1 - \lambda_k \Delta t)(1 - \mu_k \Delta t) \\ &+ p_{k+1}(t) \mu_k \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \\ &= p_{k-1}(t) \lambda_{k-1} \Delta t + p_k(t) \left[1 - \mu_k \Delta t - \lambda_k \Delta t + \lambda_k \mu_k \Delta t^2 \right] \\ &+ p_{k+1}(t) \mu_{k+1} \Delta t + o(\Delta t), \quad \text{for } k > 0. \end{split}$$

Rearranging yields

$$\begin{split} \frac{p_0(t+\Delta t)-p_0(t)}{\Delta t} &= -\lambda_0 p_0(t)+\mu_1 p_1(t)+\frac{o(\Delta t)}{\Delta t},\\ \frac{p_k(t+\Delta t)-p_k(t)}{\Delta t} &= \lambda_{k-1} p_{k-1}(t)-(\lambda_k+\mu_k) p_k(t)\\ &+ \mu_{k+1} p_{k+1}(t)+\frac{o(\Delta t)}{\Delta t}, \quad \text{for } k>0. \end{split}$$

Chapman-Kolmogorov Equations of Birth-Death Processes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_0(t+\Delta t)-p_0(t)}{\Delta t} &= -\lambda_0 p_0(t) + \mu_1 p_1(t) + \frac{o(\Delta t)}{\Delta t}, \\ \frac{p_k(t+\Delta t)-p_k(t)}{\Delta t} &= \lambda_{k-1} p_{k-1}(t) - (\lambda_k + \mu_k) p_k(t) \\ &+ \mu_{k+1} p_{k+1}(t) + \frac{o(\Delta t)}{\Delta t}, \quad \text{for } k > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit $\Delta t
ightarrow 0$ yields

$$\frac{dp_{0}(t)}{dt} = -\lambda_{0}p_{0}(t) + \mu_{1}p_{1}(t),$$

$$\frac{dp_{k}(t)}{dt} = \lambda_{k-1}p_{k-1}(t) - (\lambda_{k} + \mu_{k})p_{k}(t) + \mu_{k+1}p_{k+1}(t), \quad k > 0.$$

$$0 \qquad \lambda_{1} \qquad \lambda_{2} \qquad \dots \qquad \lambda_{k-1} \qquad \lambda_{k+1} \qquad \dots$$

$$\mu_{k} \qquad \mu_{k+1} \qquad \dots$$

Tribastone (IFI LMU)

A Generic Recursive Stationary Solution (1/2)



$$\begin{split} &\frac{dp_0(t)}{dt} = -\lambda_0 p_0(t) + \mu_1 p_1(t), \\ &\frac{dp_k(t)}{dt} = \lambda_{k-1} p_{k-1}(t) - (\lambda_k + \mu_k) p_k(t) + \mu_{k+1} p_{k+1}(t), \quad k > 0. \end{split}$$

Setting $dp_k(t)/dt = 0$ for all $k \ge 0$ yields

 $\lambda_{k-1}\pi_{k-1}-(\lambda_k+\mu_k)\pi_k+\mu_{k+1}\pi_{k+1}=0, \quad \text{with } \lambda_i=\mu_i=0 \text{ for all } i<0.$

A Generic Recursive Stationary Solution (2/2)

Rearranging
$$\lambda_{k-1}\pi_{k-1} - (\lambda_k + \mu_k)\pi_k + \mu_{k+1}\pi_{k+1} = 0$$
 gives
$$\lambda_{k-1}\pi_{k-1} - \mu_k\pi_k = \underbrace{\lambda_k\pi_k - \mu_{k+1}\pi_{k+1}}_{g(k)}.$$

Observe that

$$g(k-1) = g(k),$$
 for all k ,

therefore g(k) must be constant with k. From $dp_0(t)/dt = 0$ we get that g(k) = 0. Therefore, we obtain the recursive solution

$$\pi_{k+1} = \frac{\lambda_k}{\mu_{k+1}} \pi_k \Longrightarrow \pi_k = \pi_0 \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_{i+1}}, \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
product form type
Question: How to compute π_0 ?
$$\pi_0 = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \pi_k = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_{i+1}}}.$$

The Poisson Process

Consider a pure-birth process with $\lambda_k = \lambda$ for $k \ge 0$ and $\mu_k = 0$ for k > 0, and assume that $p_0(0) = 1$ and $p_k(0) = 0$ for all k > 0. The equations simplify to

$$egin{aligned} rac{dp_0(t)}{dt} &= -\lambda p_0(t) \ rac{dp_k(t)}{dt} &= \lambda p_{k-1}(t) - \lambda p_k(t), \end{aligned} \qquad \qquad k > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Solving the first equation $p_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$, by induction it is proven that

$$p_k(t) = rac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^k}{k!}, \qquad k \ge 0.$$

This is the Poisson process, a counting process with exponentially distributed increments with mean $1/\lambda$.



Properties of Poisson Process

I

Mean:

$$E[N(t)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p_k(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{(k-1)!} = e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{(k-1)!}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} = e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t) e^{\lambda t} = \lambda t.$$

Variance: Var[N(t)] = λt.
Memoryless property:

$$\mathbb{P}(N(s,s+t)=k)=\frac{(\lambda t)^k e^{-\lambda t}}{k!},$$

where N(s, s + t) is defined as the number of arrivals between s and s + t.

Tribastone (IFI LMU)

Why Are Poisson Processes Relevant?

In addition to mathematical tractability, they model many phenomena:

- Arrivals of calls in a telephone network;
- Decay of radioactive elements (gamma-ray emissions);
- Army soldiers killed due to being kicked by their horses;¹
- A large number of independent renewal processes will tend to a Poisson process.
- The birth-death process may be interpreted as a queueing system where increments (with rate λ) denote arrivals of request and decrements (with rate μ) are related to services.
- It is denoted in Kendall notation as the M/M/1 system:
 - Exponentially distributed interarrival times;
 - Exponentially distributed services;
 - Single-server system.

¹Kleinrock, *Queueing Systems: Volume I — Theory*, Wiley Interscience, NY, 1975.

Tribastone (IFI LMU)

Queueing Theory 10 / 30

A queueing system is often denoted by A/B/C/X/Y/Z, where:

- A gives the distribution of interarrival times (e.g., *M*, *E*, *G*, *D*, ...);
- *B* gives the service time distribution;
- C gives the service multiplicity $(1, 2, \dots, \infty)$;
- X gives the system capacity;
- Y gives the customer population;
- Z gives the queue discipline (i.e., FIFO, LIFO, RANDOM, etc.).

Stationary Distribution of the M/M/1 System (1/2)

Consider again the equations of motion:

$$egin{aligned} &rac{dp_0(t)}{dt}=-\lambda p_0(t)+\mu p_1(t),\ &rac{dp_k(t)}{dt}=\lambda p_{k-1}(t)-(\lambda+\mu)p_k(t)+\mu p_{k+1}(t), \qquad k>0. \end{aligned}$$

We look for a probability vector $\pi = [\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_k, \dots]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda \pi_0 + \mu \pi_1 &= 0, \\ \lambda \pi_{k-1} - (\lambda + \mu) \pi_k + \mu \pi_{k+1} &= 0, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \pi_i &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

 From the first equation, π₁ = (λ/μ)π₀.
 For k = 1, λπ₀ − (λ + μ)π₁ + μπ₂ = 0, from which π₂ = (λ/μ + 1)π₁ − (λ/μ)π₀ = (λ/μ)²π₀.

Stationary Distribution of the M/M/1 System (2/2)

By induction one can prove that

$$\pi_k = (\lambda/\mu)^k \pi_0, \qquad ext{for } k > 0.$$

$$\pi_0 = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \pi_k = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda/\mu)^k \pi_0 \Longrightarrow \pi_0 \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda/\mu)^k \right) = 1.$$

If $\lambda < \mu$ then the series converges. Therefore

$$\pi_0 = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\lambda/\mu}{1 - \lambda/\mu}} = 1 - \lambda/\mu.$$

Setting $\rho=\lambda/\mu$ we obtain

$$\pi_k = \rho^k (1 - \rho), \qquad \text{for all } k > 0.$$

Performance Metrics for the M/M/1 Queue (1/2)

• Mean queue length: average number of customers in the system.

$$\begin{split} L &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k\pi_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k\rho^k (1-\rho) = (1-\rho)\rho \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\rho^{k-1} \\ &= (1-\rho)\rho \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{d\rho}\rho^k = (1-\rho)\rho \frac{d}{d\rho} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho^k \\ &= (1-\rho)\rho \frac{d}{d\rho} \left[\frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \right] = (1-\rho)\rho \frac{1-\rho+\rho}{(1-\rho)^2} = \frac{\rho}{(1-\rho)}. \end{split}$$

Utilisation: probability that the server is busy.

• Formally, it may be defined as the expected value of a function of the random variable that underlies the stationary distribution.

$$egin{aligned} u(X) &= egin{cases} 0 & ext{if } X = 0, \ 1 & ext{otherwise}. \end{aligned} \ U &:= \mathbb{E}[u(X)] = \sum_{k=1}^\infty
ho^k (1-
ho) = 1 - \pi_0 = ecture$$

 ρ .

Performance Metrics for the M/M/1 Queue (2/2)

Average response time. We invoke Little's law, which states that for a system in steady state

$$L=\lambda W,$$

where:

- L is the average number of users in the system;
- λ is the steady-state rate of arrivals into the system (which is equal to the throughput, i.e., the steady-state rate of departures from the system);

W is the average response time.

• In the M/M/1 queue,

$$W = L/\lambda = rac{
ho}{(1-
ho)\lambda} = rac{1}{\mu(1-
ho)} = rac{1}{\mu-\lambda}.$$



The $M/M/\infty$ System

Service capacity is proportional to the number of customers in the system.



By induction, $\pi_k = \frac{1}{k!} (\lambda/\mu)^k \pi_0$, k > 0. From the normalisation condition,

$$1 = \pi_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^k \pi_0 = \pi_0 \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^k\right] = \pi_0 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^k = \pi_0 e^{\lambda/\mu}$$
$$\implies \quad \pi_k = \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^k e^{-\lambda/\mu}, \qquad k \ge 0.$$

Tribastone (IFI LMU)

Performance of the $M/M/\infty$ System

Average queue length

$$\begin{split} L &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k\pi_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^k e^{-\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^k e^{-\lambda/\mu} \\ &= e^{-\lambda/\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^k = e^{-\lambda/\mu} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{k-1} \\ &= e^{-\lambda/\mu} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^n = e^{-\lambda/\mu} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) e^{\lambda/\mu} = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}. \end{split}$$

• Average response time: $W = 1/\mu$.

Question: What is the utilisation?

The M/M/m System

A multi-server system with finite capacity m.



If in the k-th state there are fewer clients than servers then the balance equations are as in the $M/M/\infty$ system, thus yielding

$$\pi_k = rac{1}{k!} \left(rac{\lambda}{\mu}
ight)^k \pi_0, \qquad \qquad ext{for all } 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

In state m, $\lambda \pi_{m-1} + m \mu \pi_{m+1} = m \mu \pi_m + \lambda \pi_m$ leads to:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{m+1} &= \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m\mu}\right) \pi_m - \frac{\lambda}{m\mu} \pi_{m-1} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m\mu}\right) \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^m \pi_0 - \frac{\lambda}{m\mu} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{m-1} \pi_0 \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{m\mu}\right) \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^m \pi_0 - \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^m \pi_0 = \frac{\lambda}{m\mu} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^m \pi_0 = \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{m+1} \pi_0. \end{aligned}$$
Tribastone (IFLLMU)
Performance Modelling of Computer Systems
Queueing Theory 19 / 30

The M/M/m System

In general, it holds that

$$\pi_{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{k} \pi_{0}, & 1 \leq k \leq m, \\ \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{k} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^{n-m} \pi_{0}, & k > m, \end{cases}$$

from which one obtains

$$\pi_0 = \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^k + \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^m \frac{1}{1 - \lambda/(m\mu)}\right]^{-1}$$

Performance Measures

$$W = \left[\frac{(\lambda/\mu)^m \mu}{(m-1)!(m\mu-\lambda)^2}\right] \pi_0 + \frac{1}{\mu},$$
$$L = \left[\frac{(\lambda/\mu)^m \lambda \mu}{(m-1)!(m\mu-\lambda)^2}\right] \pi_0 + \frac{\lambda}{\mu}.$$

Tribastone (IFI LMU)

Comparison



Finite Capacity: The M/M/1/K System



Performance Measures for the M/M/1/K System



From

$$\pi_0 = ig(1-\lambda/\muig)/ig(1-(\lambda/\muig)^{K+1}ig) \qquad ext{and} \quad \pi_k = ig(rac{\lambda}{\mu}ig)^{\kappa}\pi_0, \quad k\geq 0,$$

and setting $\rho = \lambda/\mu$,

$$\frac{1-\pi_0}{1-\pi_K} = \frac{1-(1-\rho)/(1-\rho^{K+1})}{1-(1-\rho)\rho^K/(1-\rho^{K+1})} = \frac{(1-\rho^{K+1})-(1-\rho)}{(1-\rho^{K+1})-(1-\rho)\rho^K}$$
$$= \frac{\rho-\rho^{K+1}}{1-\rho^K} = \rho,$$

which yields the relationship

 $\lambda(1 - \pi_{\kappa}) = \mu(1 - \pi_0)$ [effective arrival rate = effective service rate].

Measures as Rewards

Consider the following function of a r.v. over the state space of the M/M/1/K system:

$$X_{a}(k) = \begin{cases} \lambda & , \text{ if } k \neq K, \\ 0 & , \text{ if } k = K. \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_a] = \sum_{k=0}^{K} X_a(k) \pi_k = \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \pi_k = \lambda (1 - \pi_K).$$

Similarly, define

$$X_{s}(k) = \begin{cases} \mu & \text{, if } k \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{, if } k = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_s] = \sum_{k=0}^{S} X_s(k) \pi_k = \mu \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k = \mu (1 - \pi_0)$$

Comparison



Tribastone (IFI LMU)

The Erlang Distribution

Exponential service phases in tandem



Each phase is defined by a r.v. Y with pdf

$$f_Y(y) = \mu e^{-\mu y}, \qquad y \ge 0.$$

• The total service time is given by X = Y + Y, which has pdf

$$f_{X}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{Y}(y) f_{Y}(x-y) dy$$

= $\int_{0}^{x} \mu e^{-\mu y} \mu e^{-\mu(x-y)} dy$
= $\mu^{2} e^{-\mu x} \int_{0}^{x} dy = \mu^{2} x e^{-\mu x}, \qquad x \ge 0.$

• X is called the Erlang-2 distribution (E_2) .

Tribastone (IFI LMU)

Properties of the Erlang Distribution

Mean and Variance

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^{+\infty} x f_X(x) dx = \mu^2 \int_0^{+\infty} x^2 e^{-\mu x} dx = 2/\mu,$$

Var[X] = 2/\mu^2.

 Compare now an exponentially distributed r.v. with rate μ and an Erlang distribution with phase 2μ.



Pdfs of Some Erlang Distributions



Features:

- Poisson arrivals;
- Erlang-distributed service with *r* phases: if a customer is currently served at phase *i*, 1 ≤ *i* ≤ *r* then no other customer may be served in any of the other phases;
- Single-server model.

• Model description: a state is described by the pair (k, i) where

- k denotes the number of customer in the system, including the one in service;
- *i* denotes the phase of service being received by the customer,
 0 ≤ *i* ≤ *r*; *i* = 0 when the queue is empty.

State Transition Diagram for the $M/E_r/1$ System



Analysis techniques:

1. Quasi-birth-death (QBD) form for the transition rate matrix^a

	B ₀₀	B_{01}	0	0	0	•••]
Q =	B ₁₀	A_1	A_2	0	0	
	0	A_0	A_1	A_2	0	
	0	0	A_0	A_1	A_2	
	0	0	0	A_0	A_1	
	Ŀ	÷	÷	÷	÷	·.]

2. Using *z*-transforms^b

^aW.J. Stewart. *Probability, Markov Chains, Queues, and Simulation*, 2009, Princeton University Press.

^bKleinrock, *Queueing Systems: Volume I* — *Theory*, Wiley Interscience, NY, 1975.